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## INTRODUCTION

- The mean curvature flow is the gradient flow of the area functional. This translates into the equation

$$
\frac{d \Sigma}{d t} \cdot \nu=-H
$$

Here $\nu$ is the normal vector.

- Translators are a special kind of solution of the form

$$
\Sigma(\cdot, t)=\Sigma(\cdot, 0)+t \omega,
$$

where $\omega$ is a fixed direction that we will take as $-e_{n+1}$
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- The equation reduces to

$$
H+e_{n+1} \cdot \nu=0
$$

- Translators may appear as singularity models.
- Huisken-Sinestrari proved that under the assumption of mean-convexity, we get translating solitons as singularity models for type 2 singularities.
- Translators are minimal surfaces with respect to the conformal metric $e^{-x_{n+1}} \delta_{j j}$ (IImanen, 1993).
- Translators are minimal surfaces with respect to the conformal metric $e^{-x_{n+1}} \delta_{i j}$ (IImanen, 1993).
- This allows us to apply $g$-minimal surface theory:

1. compactness theorems,
2. curvature estimates,
3. maximum and tangency principles,
4. monotonicity results.

## Examples

- Grim Reaper


Tilted Grim Reaper


## Examples

- $\Delta$-wing



## Examples



Figure 5. The bowl soliton in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ and the translating catenoid for $\lambda=2$.

## Examples

- Nguyen's trident

- Scherk-translator



## SOME CLASSIFICATION RESULTS

## Graphical translators in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

A complete graphical translator in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is, up to an ambient isometry:

- a vertical plane,
- a (tilted) grim reaper cylinder,
- a $\Delta$-wing,
- a bowl soliton.

These are contained in a slab, except for the bowl soliton.
This type of translators were studied independently by Hoffman, IImanen, Martin, White; Bourni, Langford, Tinaglia

## Semi-graphical translators in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

- A translator $M$ is called semigraphical if

1. $M$ is a smooth, connected, properly embedded submanifold (without boundary) in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$,
2. $M$ contains a non-empty, discrete collection of vertical lines $\left\{L_{i}\right\}$.
3. $M \backslash \bigcup_{i} L_{i}$ is a graph.

## Theorem (Hoffman, IImanen, Martin, White)

A semigraphical translator in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is one of the following:

- a (doubly periodic) Scherk translator,
- a (singly periodic) Scherkenoid,
- a (singly periodic) helicoid-like translator,
- a pitchfork,
- a (singly periodic) trident,
- (after a rigid motion) a translator containing the $z$-axis such that $M \backslash Z$ is a graph over $\{(x, y): y \neq 0\}$.


## Theorem (Hoffman, IImanen, Martin, White)

A semigraphical translator in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is one of the following:

- a (doubly periodic) Scherk translator,
- a (singly periodic) Scherkenoid,
- a (singly periodic) helicoid-like translator,
- a pitchfork,
- a (singly periodic) trident,
- (after a rigid motion) a translator containing the $z$-axis such that $M \backslash Z$ is a graph over $\{(x, y): y \neq 0\}$.


Figure 1. The parallelogram with base $L$, corner angle $\alpha$, and

## Semi-graphical translators in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$



Figure 3. The doubly periodic Scherk translator $\mathscr{S}_{\pi / 2, \pi / 2}$

## THE PITCHFORK AND THE HELICOID

## Pictures



Figure 1. From left to right: A fundamental piece of the pitchfork of width $\pi$ and the whole surface, obtained from a fundamental piece by a $180^{\circ}$ rotation around the $z$-axis. The asymptotic behavior at the two wings (vertical plane/ grim reaper) is visible here.


Figure 2. From left to right: A fundamental piece of the helicoid of width $\pi / 2$; and part of the surface, obtained by repeated reflection along vertical boundary lines.

## Analytical set-up



- If $M=\operatorname{graph}(u)$, the equation $H+\left\langle\nu, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\rangle=0$ becomes the quasilinear elliptic PDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+u_{x x} u_{y}^{2}-2 u_{x y} u_{x} u_{y}+u_{y y} u_{x}^{2}+1+|D u|^{2}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Definition of a pitchfork

Let $\Omega_{w}$ be a strip of width $w$.
For any $w \geq \pi$, there exists a smooth translator $M$ whose boundary $\partial M$ is the $z$-axis $Z$ and whose interior $M \backslash \partial M$ is the graph of a function $u: \Omega_{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1) on $\operatorname{Int}\left(\Omega_{w}\right)$ with boundary values:

$$
u(x, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
+\infty, & x<0,  \tag{2}\\
-\infty, & x>0
\end{array}, \quad \text { and } \quad u(x, w)=-\infty .\right.
$$

A pitchfork of width $w$ is the complete, simply connected translator without boundary $\mathcal{P}_{w}$ obtained by performing a single Schwarz reflection of $M$ about the $z$-axis, $Z$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}_{w} \backslash Z$ projects diffeomorphically onto

$$
\{-w<y<0\} \cup\{0<y<w\} .
$$

## Definition of a Helicoid

For any $w<\pi$, there exists a smooth translator $M$ whose boundary $\partial M$ consists of two vertical lines, the $z$-axis and the line $\{x=\hat{x}, y=w\}$ for some $\hat{x}>0$, and whose interior $M \backslash \partial M$ is the graph of a function $u: \Omega_{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1) on $\operatorname{Int}\left(\Omega_{w}\right)$ with boundary values:
$u(x, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}+\infty, & x<0, \\ -\infty, & x>0\end{array}\right.$,
and $u(x, w)= \begin{cases}-\infty, & x<\hat{x}, \\ +\infty, & x>\hat{x}\end{cases}$
A helicoid of width $w$ is the complete, simply connected translator without boundary $\mathcal{H}_{w}$ obtained from $M$ by performing countably many repeated Schwarz reflection about these axes. It follows that $\mathcal{H}_{w}$ contains the vertical lines $L_{n}$ through the points $n(\hat{x}, w)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{w} \backslash \bigcup_{n} L_{n}$ projects diffeomorphically onto the strip cover $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\{n w<y<(n+1) w\}$.

## Fundamental Strips



$$
-\infty
$$



## Main Theorem



For given $w \in(0, \infty)$, the semigraphical translators with fundamental pieces given by graphs over the slab of width $w$ in the ( $x, y$ )-plane (helicoids for $0<w<\pi$ and pitchforks for $w \geqslant \pi$ ) are unique up to vertical translation.

## Strategy of the proof

- Assume that we have two distinct solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}$. Then there is $p_{0}$ such that $D\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\left(p_{0}\right) \neq 0$.
- Argue that there is a $q_{0}$ such that $D u_{1}\left(q_{0}\right)=D u_{1}\left(p_{0}\right)$. Define $\xi=q_{0}-p_{0}=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$.
- Argue that if it is not possible to pick $\xi_{2} \neq 0$, then $u_{1}=u_{2}+c$.
- Define $u_{1}^{\prime}(p)=u_{1}(p+\xi), w(p)=u_{1}^{\prime}(p)-u_{2}(p)$ and assume that $w\left(p_{0}\right)=0$.
- Study the zero-level set of $w$ and show the following:


## Types of arcs of the level set through $p_{0}$

The arcs of the zero-level set $\{w=0\}$ passing through $p_{0}$ are contained in

- $\operatorname{Int}\left(\Omega_{w} \cap \Omega_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cup\{\vec{\xi}\}$, in the case of pitchfork translators (2),
- $\operatorname{Int}\left(\Omega_{w} \cap \Omega_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cup\{\vec{\xi}\} \cup\{(\hat{x}, w)\}$, in the case of helicoidal translators (3), and have one of the following types:
(i) going to infinity in the $(1,0)$-direction;
(ii) going to infinity in the $(-1,0)$-direction;
(iii) passing through the point that is the projection of the vector $\vec{\xi}$ to the $(x, y)$-plane;
(iv) (only in the helicoidal case) passing through ( $\hat{x}, w$ ).

There exists precisely one arc of type (iii) and of type (iv) passing through $p_{0}$.

## Arc-structure



## Strategy of the proof

- The Morse-Radó theory proved by Hoffman, Martin, White implies that at a critical point of intersection of two translators the zero-level set is composed by the intersection of at least two analytic curves. This contradicts the structure proved for the pitchfork.


## Strategy of the proof

- The Morse-Radó theory proved by Hoffman, Martin, White implies that at a critical point of intersection of two translators the zero-level set is composed by the intersection of at least two analytic curves. This contradicts the structure proved for the pitchfork.
- For the helicoid fix a helicoid constructed as a limit and show that the zero-level set arises as a limit.

- Show that if this is the case, the structure theorem (for the level set) is violated.


## Remarks

- A key point is to show that arcs of type (i) and (ii) are unique.
- It is also important to use the asymptotic structure of these solutions at infinity, which was already known.
- In several points we use that these solutions are analytic since they solve an elliptic PDE.
- We also use that critical points are isolated.


## Uniqueness of type (i) for Pitchforks

- Assume there at least two such arcs, consider the semi-infinite region $S_{0}$ contained between them.
- Take any sequence of points $\left\{p_{n}\right\} \subset S_{0}$ with $x$-coordinates tending to $+\infty$.
- Due to White's compactness theorem, for any pitchfork $\mathcal{P}$ constructed from a function $u$, the sequence of translators formed by

$$
\mathcal{P}(n):=\mathcal{P}-\left(p_{n}, \mathcal{L}\left(p_{n}\right)\right)
$$

has a smooth convergent subsequence in the uniform compact topology.

- Work of HMW shows that this limit is a tilted grim reaper $G_{w}$ over $\Omega_{w}$. Hence we get two tilted grim reapers of the same slope over different domain ( $\Omega_{w}$ and $\Omega_{w}^{\prime}$ ) that intersect along $S_{0}$.
- This is a contradiction since two grim reapers cannot have this type of intersection.

Main tools for the remaining part

- A "rotational maximum principle" (rotation+tangency principle).
- A structure theorem about the translators near infinity.


## Definition $\vartheta$-graph

For a point $p_{a}=\left(x_{a}, y_{a}, 0\right)$ on the $(x, y)$-plane, denote the ambient distance, $\rho_{p_{a}}(P)=\operatorname{dist}\left(P,\left\{(x, y)=\left(x_{a}, y_{a}\right)\right\}\right)$, of $P$ from the vertical line through $p_{\mathrm{a}}$. An embedded surface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a $\vartheta$-graph over a domain $W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\rho}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{z}$, if for some $p_{a} \notin M$ and $\alpha \in(0,2 \pi)$,

- $M$ is contained in a cylindrical sector of angle $\alpha$ centered at $p_{a}$,
- the "cylindrical projection" map to radius-height coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p_{\mathrm{a}}} \mid M: M \ni P \longmapsto\left(\rho_{p_{\mathrm{a}}}(P), z(P)\right) \in[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a diffeomorphism with image $W$. Equivalently, the image of $M$ under the azimuthal angle map $\theta_{p_{a}}$ is the graph of $\vartheta: W \rightarrow(0, \alpha)$ in the $\left(\rho_{p_{a}}, z\right)$-plane, where $\vartheta\left(\varphi_{p_{a}}(P)\right):=\theta_{p_{a}}(P)$.

Lemma (pitchforks and helicoids as $\vartheta$-graphs)

- For a pitchfork, there is a sufficiently large $R$ such that $M^{<-R}$ is a $\vartheta$-graph.
- For a helicoid, there is a sufficiently large $R$ such both $M^{>R}$ and $M^{<-R}$ are $\vartheta$-graphs.
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The proof uses the structure at infinity: these translators converge to vertical planes.
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## The last part of the proof

The key idea of our proof is to show the uniqueness of the level set arcs of different types through $p_{0}$ as follows:

- We show that if there are multiple arcs, they would form an infinite sub-region of $\Omega_{w} \cap \Omega_{w}^{\prime}$, over which the surface $M_{1}^{\prime}$ can be rotated to satisfy the conditions of the tangency principle, with their contact occurring only along interior points.
- This would imply that the surfaces $M_{1, \theta}^{1}, M_{2}$ coincide, due to the tangency principle, which contradicts the boundary conditions imposed on the functions $u_{1, \theta}^{\prime}, u_{2}$.
- To perform this rotational argument, we use the $\vartheta$-graph structure of the previous lemma.


Figure 4. Evolution of the level set region. The strips $\Omega_{w}, \Omega_{w}^{\prime}$ are between the blue and red lines respectively; $\Omega_{p_{a}}^{+}(R)$ is contained between the blue curves as before. The shaded region is the one used in the proof; the desired level set here is $S_{\lambda^{\prime}}$.

## The rotation process



Figure 4: The process of rotating the surface $\tilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ over the region $\tilde{S}$ until it becomes tangent to $\tilde{M}_{2}$ at an interior point $T$.
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